

# Use of a Tandem Prins/Friedel–Crafts Reaction in the Construction of the Indeno-Tetrahydropyridine Core of the Haouamine Alkaloids: Formal Synthesis of (–)-Haouamine A


Erik Fenster, Charlie Fehl, and Jeffrey Aubé\*

KU Chemical Methodologies and Library Development Center, University of Kansas,  
2121 Simons Drive, Lawrence, Kansas 66047, United States

jaube@ku.edu

Received March 17, 2011

## ABSTRACT



A tandem Prins/Friedel–Crafts reaction useful for the construction of the indeno-tetrahydropyridine core of the haouamine alkaloids and a formal synthesis of (–)-haouamine A are described.

Haouamines A and B were isolated in 2003 from a marine ascidian (*Aplidium haouarianum*) collected off of the southern coast of Spain (Figure 1).<sup>1</sup> The potent and selective cytotoxic activity displayed by haouamine A against the HT-29 human colon carcinoma cell line ( $IC_{50} = 0.1 \mu\text{g/mL}$ ) has made it an appealing target for total synthesis.<sup>2–4</sup> The structural features comprise a congested indeno-tetrahydropyridine core fused to an 11-membered paracyclophane containing a strained, nonplanar aromatic ring. In addition, the presence of a quaternary stereogenic

center and the unusual biosynthetic oxidation pattern make the haouamines a formidable synthetic challenge.




Figure 1. Haouamines A and B.

To date, the only total syntheses of haouamines A and B have been reported by Baran and workers, who used either an alkyne/pyrone Diels–Alder cycloaddition or a cyclohexenone to phenol oxidation to install the paracyclophane moiety.<sup>2</sup> A number of other workers have focused on the preparation of the indeno-tetrahydropyridine core.

(1) Garrido, L.; Zubia, E.; Ortega, M. J.; Salvá, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 293–299.


(2) For previous total syntheses of haouamine A, see: (a) Baran, P. S.; Burns, N. Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 3908–3909. (b) Burns, N. Z.; Baran, P. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 205–208. (c) Burns, N. Z.; Krylova, I. N.; Hannoush, R. N.; Baran, P. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 9172–9173.

(3) For previous formal syntheses of haouamine A, see: (a) Jeong, J. H.; Weinreb, S. M. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 2309–2312. (b) Fürstner, A.; Ackerstaff, J. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 2870–2872. (c) Taniguchi, T.; Zaimoku, H.; Ishibashi, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2624–2626.

(4) For previous approaches to the haouamines, see: (a) Smith, N. D.; Hayashida, J.; Rawal, V. H. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4309–4312. (b) Grundl, M. A.; Trauner, D. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 23–25. (c) Wipf, P.; Furegati, M. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 1901–1904. (d) Tanaka, T.; Inui, H.; Kida, H.; Kodama, T.; Okamoto, T.; Takeshima, A.; Tachi, Y.; Morimoto, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 2949–2951.


With the exception of an intramolecular Mizoroki–Heck sequence reported by Ishibashi and co-workers,<sup>3c</sup> all other approaches sequentially form each of the rings in the core. Both of the Rawal<sup>4a</sup> and Trauner<sup>4b</sup> laboratories have used a Friedel–Crafts alkylation to prepare the indene ring from a tetrahydropyridine-containing starting material. Herein, we report an approach to the indeno-tetrahydropyridine core in which the indene and tetrahydropyridine rings are formed concurrently in a tandem Prins/Friedel–Crafts reaction and the use of this reaction in a formal synthesis of (–)-haouamine A.

### Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Haouamine A




Our approach was based on forming the indeno-tetrahydropyridine core **3** from an amino acid starting material as shown in Scheme 1. In this scenario, an acid-promoted Prins reaction of enal **4** would form a carbocation that could in principle be attacked by an aromatic ring in an intramolecular Friedel–Crafts reaction. Deriving confidence from a few previously reported examples,<sup>5</sup> we began by preparing test substrates **8a–8d** from the Weinreb amide **5** (Scheme 2; see Supporting Information for detail).

### Scheme 2. Preparation of Model Prins/Friedel–Crafts Substrates



**Table 1.** Acid Promoted Prins/Friedel–Crafts Reaction of Enal Substrates **8**




| entry | enal      | $H^+$ or $LA^a$   | solvent                         | $t$ (°C) | yield (%) <sup>b</sup> |                                  |
|-------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
|       |           |                   |                                 |          | <b>9</b>               | <b>10</b> ( $\alpha$ : $\beta$ ) |
| 1     | <b>8a</b> | CSA               | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | 0        | 76                     |                                  |
| 2     | <b>8a</b> | AlCl <sub>3</sub> | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | –41      |                        | 90 (1.6:1)                       |
| 3     | <b>8a</b> | BF <sub>3</sub>   | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | –41      |                        | 94 (1.1:1)                       |
| 4     | <b>8a</b> | TiCl <sub>4</sub> | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | –41      | 12                     | 85 (1.9:1)                       |
| 5     | <b>8b</b> | CSA               | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | 0        | 72                     |                                  |
| 6     | <b>8b</b> | AlCl <sub>3</sub> | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | –41      | 28                     | 54 (1.6:1)                       |
| 7     | <b>8b</b> | AlCl <sub>3</sub> | MeNO <sub>2</sub>               | –20      | 5                      | 74 (1.3:1)                       |
| 8     | <b>8b</b> | BF <sub>3</sub>   | MeNO <sub>2</sub>               | –20      | 2                      | 84 (1.4:1)                       |
| 9     | <b>8c</b> | CSA               | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | rt       |                        | <sup>c</sup>                     |
| 10    | <b>8c</b> | AlCl <sub>3</sub> | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | 0        |                        | <sup>c</sup>                     |
| 11    | <b>8c</b> | AlCl <sub>3</sub> | MeNO <sub>2</sub>               | 0        |                        | 5 (1:1) <sup>c</sup>             |
| 12    | <b>8c</b> | BF <sub>3</sub>   | MeNO <sub>2</sub>               | 0        |                        | 7 (1:1) <sup>c</sup>             |

<sup>a</sup> A slight excess of protic or Lewis acid was used (1.1 equiv). <sup>b</sup> Yield of the isolated product. <sup>c</sup> The major isolated products in these reactions was that resulting from a Prins reaction only.

Enals **8** were treated with various protic and Lewis acids (Table 1). Treating **8a** with camphorsulfonic acid resulted in a clean reaction yielding the bridged tricyclic compound **9a** (entry 1). This product presumably results from a Prins reaction followed by a dehydration yielding an intermediate allylic cation, which is then intercepted by the arene in an intermolecular Friedel–Crafts manner at the less hindered allyl carbon. In contrast, the use of various Lewis acids (entries 2–4) resulted predominantly in the fused tricyclic product **10a**, in which the initial Prins cation intermediate is intercepted directly by the tethered arene. When **8b** was treated with protic acid (entry 5), the bridged tricycle **9b** was obtained exclusively. When Lewis acids were used instead (entry 6), significant amounts of the bridged tricycle **9b** were observed in addition to **10b**, which was still the major product. The formation of **9b** could be minimized if the reaction was performed in a polar aprotic solvent such as nitromethane (entries 7 and 8). Within products **9b** and **10b**, Friedel–Crafts aromatic substitution occurred para to the methoxy group. When enal **8c** was treated under protic or Lewis acid conditions (entries 9–12), no appreciable amounts of

(5) (a) Yang, X.-F.; Wang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Li, C.-J. *Synlett* **2005**, 1912–1916. (b) Tian, X.; Jaber, J. J.; Rychnovsky, S. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 3176–3183. (c) Basavaiah, D.; Reddy, K. R. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 57–60. (d) Reddy, U. C.; Bondalapati, S.; Saikia, A. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2605–2608. (e) Reddy, U. C.; Saikia, A. K. *Synlett* **2010**, 1027–1032.


### Scheme 3. Prins/Friedel–Crafts Attempt with **8d**



Friedel–Crafts products were obtained, demonstrating the requirement for the arene to be electron rich. Reaction of **8d**, lacking the vinylic phenyl group, led solely to **11**, resulting from a direct Friedel–Crafts acylation–dehydration reaction (Scheme 3).

These results allowed us to narrow our strategy for synthesizing the core of haouamine A (Scheme 4): (1) use Lewis instead of protic acids to obtain product having the

### Scheme 4. Plan for the Prins/Friedel–Crafts Approach to Haouamine A



desired ring system, (2) further drive the selectivity using nitromethane as solvent,<sup>6</sup> (3) preinstall the vinyl aromatic group, and (4) use a blocking group to force formation of an ortho C–C bond in the Friedel–Crafts step (following precedent set by Rawal<sup>4a</sup>).

This route required the unusual amino ester **13**, which was prepared from **12**<sup>7</sup> by a route analogous to that of Jackson and co-workers (Scheme 5).<sup>8</sup> We found that this


(6) We suspect that the dehydration leading to **9** occurs competitively with the desired Friedel–Crafts reaction. In a polar aprotic solvent such as nitromethane, the intermediate Prins carbocation remains longer lived so as to allow sufficient time for the Friedel–Crafts arene to react directly.

(7) Serine iodide **12** is prepared in three steps from serine (methyl ester formation, Boc protection, iodination) in 61% overall yield according to the procedure of Rudd, M. T.; Trost, B. M. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 4599–4602.

(8) (a) Rilatt, I.; Caggiano, L.; Jackson, R. F. W. *Synlett* **2005**, 2701–2719. (b) Oswald, C. L.; Carrillo-Márquez, T. S.; Caggiano, L.; Jackson, R. F. W. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 681–687. (c) Ross, A. J.; Lang, H. L.; Jackson, R. F. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 245–248.


conversion could be attained in higher overall yield by cross-coupling **12** with 3-iodoanisole followed by treatment with NBS. The second aryl ring was installed by preparing Weinreb amide **14** from ester **13** using the Merck protocol (*i*-PrMgCl, MeNH(OMe)·HCl),<sup>9</sup> followed by addition of 3-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide. Methylation of 3-methoxyphenyl ketone **15** was effected cleanly in a two-step Peterson olefination protocol to yield **16** in good overall yield.<sup>10</sup> Finally, the two-carbon aldehyde was attached by *N*-alkylation with TBS-protected 2-bromoethanol, deprotection, and oxidation of the resulting alcohol to produce enal **18**.

### Scheme 5. Synthesis of the Enal Substrate for the Prins/Friedel–Crafts Approach to Haouamine A




The enal **18** was then subjected to a variety of protic and Lewis acid conditions in an attempt to promote a Prins/Friedel–Crafts reaction (Scheme 6). However, the only observable product in all cases resulted from the

### Scheme 6. Prins/Friedel–Crafts Attempt with Enal **18**



cyclization of the Boc amide onto the aldehyde with concomitant loss of isobutylene to produce the hydroxy oxazolidinone **20**. Since it became obvious that the Boc protecting group was not compatible with the required acid conditions, the enal substrate was then retuned with a change in protecting group. Boc deprotection and re-protection of the free amine in the form of a Cbz group, followed by a similar homologation sequence performed previously (Scheme 5), yielded enal **19**.

**Table 2.** Acid Promoted Prins/Friedel–Crafts Reaction of Enal Substrate **19**



| entry | $H^+$ or LA <sup>a</sup> | solvent                         | $t$ (°C) | product   | yield (%) ( $\alpha$ : $\beta$ ) <sup>b</sup> |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------|---|
| 1     | CSA                      | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | rt       | <b>21</b> | 81 (1.6:1)                                    |
| 2     | AlCl <sub>3</sub>        | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | 0        | <b>22</b> | 70  |
| 3     | AlCl <sub>3</sub>        | MeNO <sub>2</sub>               | -20      | <b>23</b> | 70  |
| 4     | BF <sub>3</sub>          | MeNO <sub>2</sub>               | -20      | <b>24</b> | 62 (1.2:1)                                    |
| 5     | Al(OTf) <sub>3</sub>     | MeNO <sub>2</sub>               | -20      | <b>24</b> | 65 (1.5:1)                                    |

<sup>a</sup> A slight excess of protic or Lewis acid was used (1.1 equiv). <sup>b</sup> Yield of the isolated product.

Acid treatment of the Cbz-protected enal **19** was more promising (Table 2). Use of CSA yielded a mixture of allylic alcohols **21** resulting from a simple Prins reaction (entry 1). Although we had expected, according to the model study, to obtain the bridged tricyclic **22**, further treatment of **21** with CSA with heating under prolonged reaction times did not produce a Friedel–Crafts reaction.


(9) Williams, J. M.; Jobson, R. B.; Yasuda, N.; Marchesini, G.; Dolling, H., U.; Grabowski, E. J. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 5461–5464.

(10) Various olefination methods involving Wittig and Tebbe reagents failed to produce substantial amounts of the product. The Peterson olefination method was successful only in the cases where the intermediate silane was treated in acid conditions (base conditions were found to be incompatible with the Boc protecting group).

In contrast, use of AlCl<sub>3</sub> in methylene chloride did yield a Prins/Friedel–Crafts product exclusively, albeit the undesired bridged tricyclic **22** rather than the expected fused tricyclic **24** (entry 2). We next turned to the use of AlCl<sub>3</sub> in nitromethane, since the use of this solvent was predicted to favor formation of **24** over **22** (entry 3). Under these conditions, chlorohydrins **23** were obtained, apparently from the addition of a chloride anion originating from the Lewis acid. These results suggest that the presence of a bromine on the Friedel–Crafts arene nucleophile of **19** decreases its reactivity. Gratifyingly, however, when Lewis acids bearing “non-nucleophilic” anions were used in nitromethane (entries 4–5) in the form of BF<sub>3</sub> or Al(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, the requisite fused Prins/Friedel–Crafts tricyclic **24** was obtained exclusively as a mixture of diastereomers at C2.

The mixture of diastereomers **24** was oxidized to the ketone and the Cbz protecting group was removed by hydrogenolysis with concomitant hydrodebromination to produce the haouamine tricyclic core **26** (Scheme 7). Since **26** was identical in all respects to an intermediate prepared in a synthesis of haouamine A by Fürstner and co-workers,<sup>3b</sup> this constitutes a formal synthesis of (–)-haouamine A.

**Scheme 7.** Formal Synthesis of Haouamine A



In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated the utility of a tandem Prins/Friedel–Crafts reaction in the concise construction of the tricyclic core of haouamine A. This culminated in a formal synthesis of (–)-haouamine A, in which the indeno-tetrahydropyridine was constructed in 13 steps from serine. Future efforts will concentrate on evaluating the utility of tandem Prins/Friedel–Crafts reaction in the construction of fused and bridged tricyclics.

**Acknowledgment.** Financial support of this work by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences is gratefully acknowledged (KU-CMLD, PO50-GM069663)

**Supporting Information Available.** Experimental information for the preparation of new compounds including X-ray data and copies of NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.